

From: Michael Dawson <daw50nmdj@hotmail.co.uk>
Sent: 26 January 2017 15:09
To: ATKINSON, Rebecca
Subject: Re: UK Docks, Corporate Lead - Part I

Dear Rebecca,

I thought you would have already been in touch with the Gazette but the way they twisted Emma's point about the loss of the Single Market round to Immigration made me very annoyed.

Because of the way the Council have treated the non compliance by UK Docks I have a lot of material and have worked out a way to present it to you. I'll break it down into parts.

1. Denial that it built without planning permission;
2. Evasion/misinformation;
3. Reversion;
4. Local Government Ombudsman;
5. Members of Parliament;
6. CEO/Corporate Lead;
7. Sunday Working.

I have already sent you some material for 1. *Denial (email trail Nov-Dec 2013)* but now wish to jump to item 5 *Members of Parliament* because the Council threatened this week to place further restrictions on my contact with the Council.

I need to jump to item 5 to explain why the Council's action is unreasonable. Please look at the last attachment, HJtoAMT25Jun15.pdf and note.

- The matters and allegations raised by your constituent are well documented *the use of the phrase implies I am lying when it was her that was misleading Anne-Marie;*
- The Ombudsman did not uphold the complaint, finding that the Council had acted appropriately in our approach relating to the planning application *the Council misinformed the LGO;*
- Please do not hesitate to share this letter with your constituent. - *She did not copy me and Anne-Marie's secretary of course ignores my requests to find out if the CEO had responded. I did not see a copy of this letter until 2016;*
- from my letter, 6th June: If Mr Swales provides any new plans to show you that I am wrong in my assessment of the development on River Drive by UK Docks please let me know. - *she did not supply and drawing to prove me wrong.*

There are no legal drawings to show that my assessment was wrong. The Council had misinformed the LGO and used drawings with mistakes (which is probably why they were not approved) to make their point.

Since the beginning, 5th Sept 2013, the Council have been so evasive about the development it makes one wonder what hold do UK Docks have over them to make them behave so badly. I hope to give you Corporate Lead (Part II) tomorrow.

Kind regards

Michael