

Date: 11/1/2021 (08:30:20 GMT)
From: mick.dawson@theharbourview.co.uk
To: Simon Buck
Cc: Emma Lewell-Buck MP, Anne-Marie Trevelyan MP, Nicola Robason, Cllr Angela Hamilton, Cllr David Francis, Alison Hoy, Keith Palmer

UK Docks and a Lost Year - 2020

On the 8th January 2020 you requested my home number in Amble to give to a Mr K Palmer, which I thought was unusual. No-one in in six years had asked for my home phone number or expressed any interest in talking to me over the phone although I am sure someone suggested that I call the office if I was dissatisfied with the some of their services so my first thought was to tell you to get lost.

That would have been rather rude especially as you were writing as Emma's Office Manager and I had been on the friendly side of cordial since we met at a get together before the Labour Party meeting 2017, 2 years after the Ombudsman's decision, and we had maintained that sort of relationship as you can see that from our last exchange of messages in 2019:

*Re: Complaint: 248789 – Unplanned Development on River Drive
From: Emma Lewell-Buck
Date: 23/12/2019 (16:09:38 BST)
To: mick.dawson@theharbourview.co.uk
Cc: Cllr Angela Hamilton, Nicola Robason, Cllr David Francis, Alison Hoy
Good afternoon Mick,
I am aware Angela and David are dealing with this, please can you let me know if there is anything needed from me.
Best wishes, hope you have a lovely Christmas
Emma*

I thanked Emma for her response and congratulated her on retaining her seat in Parliament. If you remember the 'Council' were busy trying to deselect her when Parliament was dissolved and she was saved by getting Brexit done. When I responded to your email I made it very clear that I would talk with Mr Palmer about the corruption linked to the development of the old boat yard on River Drive:

*Re: telephone number
From: mick.dawson@theharbourview.co.uk
Date: 09/01/2020 (07:11:20 GMT)
To: BUCK, Simon
Dear Simon, Sorry, I do not look in my mail every day and it may be better to use my personal email box daw50nmdj@hotmail.co.uk unless Keith wants to talk about corruption at the Town Hall, UK Docks etc.*

Mr Palmer made it clear from the call, that was the last thing he wanted to talk about, and you can verify that because you wrote and told me that you were listening in. As you can see the pair of you got me to the phone on Tuesday 13th January under false pretences and your report of the call only goes to confirm that view:

*BUCK, Simon <simon.buck@parliament.uk>
14/01/2020 14:37
To: mick.dawson@theharbourview.co.uk*

Dear Mr Dawson,

Thank you for your email sent this morning following from Mr Palmer's telephone conversation to you yesterday afternoon.

Remember I was on the other end of that phone call and the main thing that came across was that he wanted to hide the fact the Council were using the Ombudsman to hide malpractice – that of avoiding enforcement action by saying that developments had been approved when they hadn't so the first thing I did was to attach the copy of my response from Peter Dunn and Co. Why did both you and Mr Palmer deliberately ignore that attachment and my email to Emma on the day of the phone call:

Re: Complaint: 248789 - Unplanned Development on River Drive

From: mick.dawson@theharbourview.co.uk

Date: 13/01/2020 (10:05:29 PM GMT)

To: Emma Lewell-Buck MP

Cc: Keith Palmer, Cllr Angela Hamilton, Cllr David Francis, Cllr Anglin

1 Attachment

8DLL.pdf (108 KB) letter from solicitor

Dear Emma,

I answered a call today from a Mr Keith Palmer on 01914271240 and we spoke about UK Docks.

Judging from the email address he gave me, I guess he must be your office manager in South Shields and from our conversation I gathered he did not wish to consider any further correspondence from me and suggested that because I now lived away you he would not be able to deal with correspondence because of parliamentary rules. You and I both know this to be nonsense as the shed is on your patch.

He hinted at, expensive litigation, vexatious complaints and presenting new evidence to the LGO which shows he has been warned off helping me by an official at the Town Hall which in turn goes back to the attempt by the CLP to deselect you.

What I really needed from you was your support and Mr Palmer has indicated by our exchange over the phone that he is not prepared to give it. At the end of the day the Council are misusing the Ombudsman's Office to hide malpractice then use their findings to deflect any enquirers after the truth.

I understood from Mr Palmer that this was no longer a matter for Parliament but I think it is. Only Parliament can make it a criminal offence to lie to the Ombudsman. I have attached a copy of the letter from my Solicitor for Mr Palmer's perusal and he would do well to read the email to Customer Advocacy 03 September 2016 at the foot of the trail below.

Incidentally it was passed back to Mrs Johnson and was not dealt with by Customer Advocacy. If it still exists it is lying unattended on a file.

In future, shall I just copy Mr Palmer any correspondence about UK Docks so he can place it in the file for reference?

Kind regards

Michael

The last sentence is a jibe. I got the impression from him that it was going to be a waste of time writing to Emma as it would only end up in the trash.

I have given it in full because at the start of 2020 Emma's MP mailbox was set to automatically filter all emails by inviting one to write again, giving: "Your full name, Full postal address and postcode, Telephone number, and any reference numbers."* so it is possible that you would not have seen the copy of the letter from Peter Dunn and Co that I attached but Mr Palmer would have seen it so I suggest you ask him for a copy. If he says he has not kept it, I can provide you with one.

To return to your response the email of the 14th January 2020 and may I remind you that it was addressed to the MP, 14-Jan-2020:

“Finally, your suggestion that Mr Palmer, or any other staff member for that matter has been “warned off helping” Emma “by an official at the Town Hall” and then making references to the CLP trying to deselect Emma, is not only untrue but an unwarranted accusation. Your email seriously undermines Mr Palmer’s, Emma’s and the Office’s integrity and it is a very serious matter. I am very sorry that Emma is unable to help you further with this case and I consider this matter to be closed.”

Were you saying there was no attempt to deselect Emma as the MP for South Shields? I’m fairly certain it was mentioned in the local press and it was definitely reported on ITV:

Ms Lewell-Buck has also written to Jeremy Corbyn and contacted his office requesting a meeting to raise her concerns over the trigger ballot process in South Shields, but has received no response.

ITV News understands Ms Lewell-Buck has now lodged an appeal with the national Labour Party over "irregularities" in the way the votes were conducted. The alleged irregularities include a local councillor filling out the ballot paper of another member, local councillors being present at "trigger" meetings where they were not permitted and harassment of party members.

Please note the last comment. Emma’s problems with the Local Labour Party appear to mirror that of the Parliamentary Labour Party and they more than anyone else were determined that Mr Corbyn would never be Prime Minister and that bring me neatly back to Mr Palmer.

If we can find out who let Mr Palmer have an @parliament.uk address for his own ends we might find out who is really behind his attempt to hide the fact that Councils are using the LGO to hide malpractice.

Some-one had to authorise his application and pay him a salary as I cannot imagine him setting you up for free. If he is not someone's office manager then who is he? As Emma’s Office Manager it should not be very difficult for you to work out for whom Mr Palmer is supposed to be working and let both them and I know that he is neither working in their interest nor that of Parliament.

I hope you agree that Parliament may be a better place without him and whoever assigned him the task of discrediting the good citizens South Shields and myself.

Regards
Michael Dawson

* “If you are not a South Shields constituent: Parliamentary protocol dictates that MPs may only take up issues on behalf of their own constituents. If you are not a constituent, I cannot act on your behalf.”

Something has changed over the last year and it now looks like the issue will have to be taken to the Secretary of State for Business etc. (MP Anne-Marie Trevelyan) and it will be shuttled back and forth and come to nothing. Meanwhile more and more corrupt Planning officers will become Agents for equally corrupt Property Developers and Money Launderers, using theharbourview.co.uk as a masterclass on how to pass the issue to the Ombudsman and getting rid of the opposition.