

From: Alison Hoy on behalf of Customer Advocates <Customer.Advocates@southtyneside.gov.uk>
Sent: 11 August 2017 11:31
To: Michael Dawson
Cc: Cllr John Anglin
Subject: RE: Extension of UK Dock's Slipway Cover, River Drive

Dear Mr Dawson

Your email to Cllr Anglin has been forwarded to our team in line with your current contact restrictions regarding issues raised regarding the UK Docks boat shed.

I must advise you that this letter is raising your historic complaint again which has been thoroughly investigated by the Council and the Local Government Ombudsman, therefore will be placed on file.

Regarding your comments regarding the additional boat shed, this was subject to a separate planning application and the Council's planning department advise that past issues do not affect the validity of any new planning applications. Following the standard planning process, planning permission was granted on 1 February 2016 for the additional work. There is nothing for the Council to add on that matter as this does not raise any new issues for Planning.

yours sincerely

Alison Hoy
Performance and Information Support Officer
Customer Advocacy

From: Michael Dawson
Sent: 08 August 2017 14:01
To: Cllr John Anglin
Cc: Rebecca Atkinson; Customer Advocates; Residents GP and HV
Subject: Extension of UK Dock's Slipway Cover, River Drive

Dear Councillor Anglin.

Please see letter attached. I have used this format because of the large number of references used.

The trouble with the Council is that they can say what ever they want without any evidence about the existing slipway cover (shed) and they are believed. If I and other residents say something to the contrary e.g. it is 3m too high, and provide evidence and we are not believed. There is something rotten in the borough.

The extra set of footings were very obvious once the frames were up in September 2013 and the Council still did nothing. All plans of 1996, whether approved or not, give an overall length of 22m not 27.5m. None of them show 6 frames.

There have been suggestions for a way forward, which include involving the relevant Secretary of State, going to the press and involving the police but I think it probably best to properly involve our MP but please let me know quickly which side you and your fellow Councillors are on as UK Docks are currently preparing to extend the shed and the permission for that was gained by deceit (the planning Committee were told the existing structure was built within the permitted height).

I can go into far more detail if you have not been convinced that the existing shed or cover has no permission for its current height but I hope that will not be necessary.

Yours sincerely,
Michael Dawson