

From: Gordon Atkinson <Gordon.Atkinson@southtyneside.gov.uk>
Sent: 21 March 2014 15:50
To: 'Mick Dawson - hotmail' <daw50nmdj@hotmail.co.uk>
Cc: +22
Subject: RE: Slipway Development, River Drive [NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED]

This email has been classified as: NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED

Dear Mr Dawson

Thank you for your email. Before the Council makes any decisions on the planning aspects of this case, we need to have a full understanding of the history of the site, and analyse all the facts. This is a complex matter and will take some time.

Regards

Gordon Atkinson.

From: Mick Dawson - hotmail [mailto:daw50nmdj@hotmail.co.uk]

Sent: 20 March 2014 15:02

To: Gordon Atkinson

Cc: + 22

Subject: Slipway Development, River Drive

Dear Mr Atkinson,

It is now over six months since the framework for the shed was erected, three months since the noise began and over two weeks since you said that the council would be able to provide a response to our request that work on or in the shed be stopped until the planning issues have been legally resolved.

I note that there has been a rising number of complaints about noise from the site to both Planning and Environmental Services and this is becoming a major concern to the residents affected.

Why has the council not used its powers of enforcement to stop the work?, you have admitted that the shed is not built to plan. If any resident had built a construction that breached their planning approval, they would surely have been asked to remedy it or at least to submit a retrospective planning application.

Why has the council not used their power of enforcement to control the continual noise issuing from this site? If this was an individual he or she would have, months ago, been served an ASBO.

It is becoming very evident from communication with other residents that the continued development and disturbance caused by the work on this site is threatening their health and well being (ie stress, noise and particulate emission). Ironically the March 14 issue of the South Tyneside news magazine is full of advice about how to 'look after your health and well being'?

I would also like to bring Councils attention to the front page statement 'A new view at Littlehaven', should it not also read 'a complete loss of Visual Amenity for Harbour View and Greens Place residents'!

I will repeat the communities request that the work is stopped immediately and appropriate consultation is put in place. What is the holdup?

your sincerely

Michael Dawson