

Shed and Corruption: A summary of Parts 1-19
From: mick.dawson@theharbourview.co.uk
Date: 23/02/2023 (17:20:39 BST)
To: Anne-Marie Trevelyan MP
Cc: Emma Lewell-Buck MP, Helen Dalby

1 Attachment: Sum-Parts-1-19.pdf (128 KB)

Dear Anne-Marie Trevelyan MP,

On Monday the 20th I copied you an email 'Shed and Corruption – Part 20' and received an automated response to let me know that you had received it and for reasons that may become obvious it did not have my full address. It is: nn lllll St, Amble, Morpeth NE65 0DY.

I sent the email with the attached letter to Emma, the MP for South Shields because that is where one will find the object to which the Shed and Corruption series refers i.e. UK Docks' shed, built 2013-14.

You were first involved in 2015 when someone passed my complaint about the conduct of South Tyneside Council indirectly to you because someone I had asked for help had noticed I had taken up lodgings in Amble.

I say indirectly, because Alan Beith had retired in March 2015 and I had written to Emma a week or so later when I discovered that South Tyneside Council were giving the Local Government Ombudsman misinformation, claiming that the plans for the shed. as we see it now, had been approved. They had not and it was to hide the fact they had allowed UK Docks to complete their shed in spite of knowing that there had been no approval for it.

You saw it as well and to throw you of the scent they found someone who was prepared to shoot the messenger and she told you, 25-Jun-15:- The matters and allegations raised by your constituent are well documented and have been subject to a number of enquiries from Mr Dawson and other local residents over a lengthy period of time.

Since then they have devised many, not unrelated ways of hiding the truth about the shed and some of them criminal but for some reason they are immune from prosecution and as I said in the covering email:- I still think that the only way to stop a Council giving misinformation to the Ombudsman is to make it a criminal offence and if you and Anne-Marie, from opposite sides of the House, were to raise the issue I'm sure you would get a good response from most of the other members.

I have decided that there was nothing new so 'Shed and Corruption – Part 20' was a misnomer and it has been changed to 'Shed and Corruption: A summary of Parts 1-19' and while I was putting the references into the main letter, I found it contained quite a few errors ranging, from bad grammar to wrong dates and hopefully these have been corrected.

I have neither copied Nicola nor Alison because I am sure they will be aware of the Summary by now but I will copy the editor of the Chronicle because it will, with a better writer than myself, make an excellent read.

Here's hoping I will be able to read all about heads rolling as the bill to stop Councils giving misinformation / misrepresentation to the Ombudsman goes through Parliament and if you wish to give credits please award them to Mr Andrew Tilbury of Peter Dunn Solicitors, rather than I.

Kind regards
Michael Dawson